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Symmetra PX40, Symmetra PX40 UPS, Infrastruxure PDU Units by APC
Nationwide
RMJ Job\# 11210

## Project Description:

This project involves providing server anchorage support for units located throughout the United States. Calculations have been assembled according to two distinct seismic regions low \& moderate, and high. A map has been created based on Figures 3.3-1 \& 3.3-2 of ASCE 7-05 to define the two different seismic regions. Please note our seismic map shows three distinct regions low, moderate, and high, but for simplicity of our calculations low and moderate were combined into one region. The map also shows a solid line near the New Madrid Fault where the value of $S_{s}$ exceeds 2.75 . In this area of extreme seismic potential, all anchorage is site specific. The other seismic regions have been determined according to the table included below;

| Seismic Design Data |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seismic design region | Short period spectral <br> response acceleration $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{s}}$ | Short-period site <br> coefficient $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{a}}$ | Design spectral response acceleration at short <br> periods $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}$ |
| Low | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 |
| Moderate | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| High | 2.75 | 1.0 | 2.0 |

## 4" Concrete Slab

Units to be ganged (3-minimum) located on the ground level assumed to have a total weight of unit plus contents of 2,100lbs. Hilti Kwik Bolt KB-TZ Carbon Steel expansion bolts shall be used to anchor the APC equipment. Calculations are only intended for the Symmetra PX40, Symmetra PX40 UPS, and Infrastruxure PDU APC units. Calculations are based on the assumptions that anchors are not located within any boundary edges, 4 " thick concrete minimum thickness, 2 " minimum embedment, and 2,500 psi concrete strength.

## Results

Please see the table below for a quick review of our results.

| Bolt Alignment | Max Tension (lbf.) | Max Shear (lbf.) | \% Capacity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ground Level | 1,100 | 1,250 | 99 |
| $50 \%$ Bld. Ht. | 949 | 1,132 | 99 |

Our results show that units on the ground level the Hilti Kwik Bolt KB-TZ (3/8" Dia. with a 2" embedment) resists a max tension force of $1,275 \#$, and max shear force of $1,125 \#$. Anchorage for units located on the upper floor using the Hilti Kwik Bolt KB-TZ (3/8" Dia. with a $13 / 4$ " embedment) resists a max tension force of $1,051 \#$, and max shear force of 1,132\#. I have included the Hilti output files along with my hand calculations in the appendix section of this calculation packet. Site specific engineering is required where $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is greater than 2.75. Design is in accordance with the 2009 International Building Code along with the 2010 California Building Code.
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## Symmetra PX40, Symmetra PX40 UPS, Infrastruxure PDU Units by APC Scope, Assumptions, and Limitations <br> RMJ Job \#11210 <br> August 25, 2011

## Special Note:

Server rack anchorage calculations are valid under the 2006 \& 2009 International Building Code \& 2010 California Building Code thru date noted on cover sheet. After valid thru date, contact APC for updates.
> Special Inspection shall be provided for expansion bolt installation.
> Existing concrete shall have a minimum compressive strength of $2,500 \mathrm{psi}$.
> Importance factor is assumed to be 1.0.
> Soil class is assumed to be D.
> Calculations and anchorage are done in accordance with the 2006 and 2009 IBC, 2010 California Building Code and ASCE7-05.
$>$ Maximum $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ value is 2.75 . Where value of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ exceeds 2.75 , site specific calculations are required for all anchorages. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ values can exceed 2.75 near the New Madrid fault.
> The minimum slab on grade thickness is assumed to be 4 ".
> Hilti KWIK Bolt KB-TZ to be used with a minimum embedment of 2.5".
> Maximum weight of enclosure and contents has been listed in the table below

|  | High Seismic | Low and Moderate Seismic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ground Level | Ground Level |
| Max Wt. of Enclosure <br> and Contents (lb) | $\mathbf{2 , 1 0 0} \#$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 0 0} \#$ |

> Enclosure is assumed to stay rigid during seismic loading (design by others).
> Ganged Units based on a Minimum of 2 Units.
> Calculations are for Symmetra PX40, Symmetra PX40 UPS, and Infrastruxure PDU units.

## DESIGN SCENARIOS AND CONDITIONS

```
NOTE:
CALCULATIONS FOR THE FOLLOW APC UNITS: SYMMETRA PX40 UPS, SYMMETRA PX 40 XR Battery Cabinet, \& INFRASTRUXURE PDU
```



CONC. SLAB OR CONC. OVER METAL DECK (DIRECT FRAME TO FLOOR) REFER TO DRAWINGS SK3, SK4, SK5, SK7

## DESIGN CRITERIA

-PROVIDE SPECIAL INSPECTION FOR EXPANSION ANCHOR
-(E) CONC. MIN COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH 2,500 psi
-GROUND FLOOR
-INSTALLATION AT <50\% OF BUILDING HEIGHT
-GANGED UNITS (3 OR MORE)
-HIGH, MODERATE \& LOW SEISMIC REGIONS
-CALCULATION PER IBC 2009/CBC 2010
-IMPORTANCE FACTOR 1.0
-WEIGHT OF ENCLOSURE AND CONTENTS TO NOT
EXCEED 2,100 LBS


SK8

| MAX UNIT WEIGH (LB) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SEISMIC <br> CATEGORY | GROUND <br> LEVEL | $>50 \%$ OF <br> BLDG HT. |
| LOW AND <br> MODERATE | 2,100 | 2,100 |
| HIGH | 2,100 | 2,100 |
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## Low \& Moderate Seismic Calculations
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## Find the Seismic Design Category (SDC)

Unit : APC-Unit

Project Location:
Low \& Moderate Seismic
Latitude: Varies
Soil Classification: D
Occupancy Category: II

Table 1613.5.2 \& Section 1613.5.2
Table 1604.5

Information from U.S. Geological Survey Website http://earthquake.usgs.gov/research/hazmaps/

| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}=$ | 1.500 | g |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}=$ | 1.070 | g |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ | 1.000 |  | Table 1613.5.3(1) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{V}}=$ | 1.500 |  | Table 1613.5.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MS}}=$ | 1.50 | g | (Equation 16-37) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M} 1}=$ | 1.61 | g | (Equation 16-38) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}=$ | 1.000 | g | (Equation 16-39) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}=$ | 1.070 | g | (Equation 16-40) |

Seismic Design Category (SDC): Varies

103 Linden Avenue
South San Francisco, CA 94080
(650) 871-2282 Fax 871-2459

APC
Low \& Moderate Seismic Job No. : 11210

Date: 08/25/11
By: MAS
Page: 11

## Load Case: Ganged Unit (Ground floor)

\# of Units ganged (min.)= 2


## Longitudinal Overturning

Overturning
Moment =
$0.30(41 \mathrm{in} . \times 4200 \mathrm{lbs})=51,.660 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
0.9xResisting
0.9 (4200 lbs. x18 in.)= 68,040 lb-in

Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05

| Anchorage Force $=$ | 0 | lbs |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Shear Force $=$ | 819 | $\mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt |

APC-Unit unit Plan
Longitudinal Seismic Force


2 ganged units
Total \# of bolts/Unit = 2

Design Bolts for 0 lbs tension, 819 lbs. shear, transverse direction

## Transverse Overturning

Ganged APC-Unit unit Plan
Overturning
Moment $=\quad 0.30(41 \mathrm{in} . \times 4200 \mathrm{lbs})=51,.660 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
0.9xResisting

Moment $=\quad 0.9(4200 \mathrm{lbs} \times 18.605 \mathrm{in})=70,.327 \mathrm{lb}$-in
Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05
Anchorage Force $=0 \quad \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{per}$ bolt Shear Force $=819$ lbs/per bolt $\quad$ Total \# of bolts/Unit $=2$

RMJ
103 Linden Avenue South San Francisco, CA 94080 (650) 871-2282 Fax 871-2459

APC
Low \& Moderate Seismic Job No. : 11210 Date: 08/25/11

By: MAS

## Load Case: Ganged units on 18in raised computer floor (Ground Floor)

\# of Units ganged (min.)= 2


## Longitudinal Overturning

Overturning
Moment $=\quad 0.3(59 \mathrm{in} . \times 4200 \mathrm{lbs})=74,.340 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \quad$ Ganged APC-Unit unit Plan
Longitudinal Seismic Force
0.9xResisting

Moment $=\quad 0.9(4200 \mathrm{lbs} . \mathrm{x} 18 \mathrm{in})=68,.040 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05

| Anchorage Force $=$ | 642 | $\mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shear Force $=$ | 819 | $\mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt |



2 ganged units Total \# of bolts/Unit = 2 Design Bolts for 1 lbs tension, 819 lbs. shear, longitudinal direction

## Transverse Overturning

## Ganged APC-Unit unit Plan

Overturning
Moment $=\quad 0.3(59 \mathrm{in} . \times 4200 \mathrm{lbs})=74,.340 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
$0.9 x$ Resisting
Moment $=\quad 0.9(4200 \mathrm{lbs} \times 18.605 \mathrm{in})=70,.327 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05
Anchorage Force $=70 \quad \mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt Shear Force $=819 \mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt $\quad$ Total $\#$ of bolts/Unit $=2$
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## Load Case: Ganged Unit ( $\leq 50 \%$ of Bldg. Ht.)

\# of Units ganged (max)= 2


## Longitudinal Overturning

| Overturning |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Moment = |  |
| 0.9xResisting |  |
| Moment $=$ | $0.32(82 / 2 \mathrm{in} . \times 4200 \mathrm{lbs})=55,.104 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$ |
|  |  |

Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05

| Anchorage Force $=$ | 0 | lbs |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Shear Force $=$ | 874 | $\mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt |

Ganged APC-Unit unit Plan
Longitudinal Seismic Force


2 ganged units Total \# of bolts/Unit = 2

Design Bolts for 0 lbs tension, 874 lbs. shear, longitudinal direction

## Transverse Overturning

```
    Overturning
    Moment = 0.32(82/2 in. x 4200lbs. ) = 55,104 lb-in
0.9xResisting
    Moment = 0.9(4200 lbs x18.605 in.)= 70,327 lb-in
```

Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05

| Anchorage Force $=$ | 0 | lbs/per bolt |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Shear Force $=$ | 874 | lbs/per bolt |

## Ganged APC-Unit unit Plan



2 ganged units \# of bolts per unit $=2$
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## Load Case: Ganged units on $18 i n$ raised computer floor ( $\leq 50 \%$ of Bldg. Ht.)

\# of Units ganged (max)=2


## Longitudinal Overturning

Overturning
Moment $=\quad 0.32(59 \mathrm{in} . \times 4200 \mathrm{lbs})=79,.296 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
$0.9 \times$ Resisting Moment $=\quad 0.9(4200 \mathrm{lbs} . \times 19.125 \mathrm{in})=72,.293 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$

Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05

| Anchorage Force $=$ | 119 | $\mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shear Force $=$ | 874 | $\mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt |

Ganged APC-Unit unit Plan Longitudinal Seismic Force

2 ganged units
\# of bolts per unit $=2$

Design Bolts for 0 lbs tension, 874 Ibs. shear, longitudinal direction

## Transverse Overturning

Overturning
Moment $=\quad 0.3(59 \mathrm{in} . \times 4200 \mathrm{lbs})=79,.296 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
0.9xResisting

Moment =

Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05
Anchorage Force $=157 \mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt

Ganged APC-Unit unit Plan
Transverse Seismic Force


2 ganged units \# of bolts per unit = 2
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## High Seismic Calculations
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Find the Seismic Design Category (SDC)
Unit : APC-Unit

Project Location:
High Seismic
Varies

Soil Classification: D
Occupancy Category: II

Table 1613.5.2 \& Section 1613.5.2
Table 1604.5

Information from U.S. Geological Survey Website http://earthquake.usgs.gov/research/hazmaps/

| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}=$ | 2.750 | g |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}=$ | 1.070 | g |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ | 1.000 |  | Table 1613.5.3(1) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}=$ | 1.500 |  | Table 1613.5.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MS}}=$ | 2.75 | g | (Equation 16-37) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M} 1}=$ | 1.61 | g | (Equation 16-38) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}=$ | 1.833 | g | (Equation 16-39) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}=$ | 1.070 | g | (Equation 16-40) |

Seismic Design Category (SDC): Varies
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## Load Case: Ganged Unit (Ground floor)

\# of Units ganged (min)= 2


Transverse Anchorage Spacing (in) =
37.21

## Longitudinal Overturning

Overturning
Moment $=\quad 0.55(41$ in. $\times 4200 \mathrm{lbs})=94,.710 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
$0.9 x$ Resisting
Moment $=0.9$ [(4200 lbs. - Vert. Comp.) $\times 19.125$ in.] $=45,785 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
Vertical Component $\left(0.2^{*}\right.$ SDS**Vp $)=1,540$ lbs
Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05
$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Anchorage Force }= & 831 & \text { lbs } / \text { per bolt } \\ \text { Shear Force }= & 751 & \text { lbs/per bolt }\end{array}$

Ganged APC-Unit unit Plan
Longitudinal Seismic


2 ganged units
Tot. \# of bolts/unit = 4

## Design Bolts for 831 lbs tension, 751 lbs. shear, longitudinal direction

## Transverse Overturning

$\begin{aligned} & \text { Overturning } \\ & \text { Moment }=\end{aligned} \quad 0.55(41 \mathrm{in} . \times 4200 \mathrm{lbs})=94,.710 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
$0.9 \times$ Resisting
Moment $=0.9[(4200 \mathrm{lbs}-$ Vert. Comp. $) \times 18.605 \mathrm{in}]=44,.540 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$

Vertical Component ( $0.2^{*}$ SDS*Wp $)=1,540$ lbs
Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05
Anchorage Force = 438 lbs/per bolt Shear Force $=751 \quad \mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt

Ganged APC-Unit unit Plan
Transverse Seismic Force


2 ganged units
Tot. \# of bolts/unit = 4
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## Load Case: Ganged units on 18in raised computer floor (Ground floor)

\# of Units ganged (min)= 2

| Single Unit Dimension |  | Raised Floor $=18$ |  |  |  | Seismic Force |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Width(w) (in) = | 37. |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {DS }}=$ | 1.83 | High Seismic |
| Depth(D) $(\mathrm{in})=$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 1.0 | (Importance) |
| Frame Height (in) = |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 1.0 | (Cabinets) |
| Max Weight (lb.) = | 2,1 |  | Center | f Gravit | ocation | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 2.5 | (Cabinets) |
| Unit | Part | Weight (lbs) | X (in) | Y (in) | Z (in) | $\mathrm{z} / \mathrm{h}=$ | 0.0 | (Ground Floor) |
| 2 - APC-Unit | Frame | 4,200 | 19.1 | 18.605 | 59 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 0.293 | W |
| Longitudinal Anchorage Spacing (in) <br> Transverse Anchorage Spacing (in) |  | 38.25 |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}, \text { min }}=$ | 0.55 | W |
|  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}, \text { max }}=$ | 2.93 | W |
|  |  | 37.21 | Use $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 0.55 | W |

## Longitudinal Overturning

Overturning
Moment =
0.55 ( 59 in. $\times 4200 \mathrm{lbs}.)=136,290 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
0.9xResisting

Moment $=0.9$ [(4200 lbs. - Vert. Comp.) $\times 19.125 \mathrm{in}.]=45,785 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
Vertical Component $\left(0.2^{*}\right.$ SDS**Wp $)=1,540$ lbs
Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05

| Anchorage Force $=$ | 769 | $\mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shear Force $=$ | 751 | $\mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt |

Ganged APC-Unit unit Plan
Longitudinal Seismic Force


2 ganged units \# of bolts per unit $=4$

## Design Bolts for 769 lbs tension, 751 lbs. shear, longitudinal direction

## Transverse Overturning

Overturning
Moment $=\quad 0.55(59 \mathrm{in} . \times 4200 \mathrm{lbs})=136,.290 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
$0.9 x$ Resisting
Moment $=0.9[(4200 \mathrm{lbs}-$ Vert. Comp. $) \times 18.605 \mathrm{in}]=44,.540 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
Vertical Component $\left(0.2^{*}\right.$ SDS*Wp $)=1,540$ lbs
Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05
Anchorage Force $=801 \mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt Shear Force $=\begin{array}{ll}751 & \mathrm{lbs} / \text { per bolt } \quad \# \text { of bolts per unit }=4\end{array}$

Design Bolts for 801 Ibs tension, 751 lbs. shear, longitudinal direction
Drawing Reference See: SK4 \& SK6
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## Load Case: Ganged Unit ( $\leq 50 \%$ of Bldg. Ht.)

\# of Units ganged $(\min )=2$


Transverse Anchorage Spacing (in) =
37.21

## Longitudinal Overturning

| Overturning Moment = | 0.59 (41 in. x 4200lbs.) = 101,024 lb-in |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0.9 \times$ Resisting |  |
| Moment = | 0.9 [(4200 lbs. - Vert. Comp.) x 19.125 in.$]=45,785 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$ |
|  | Vertical Component (0.2*SDS*Wp) $=1,540 \mathrm{lbs}$ |

Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05
Anchorage Force $=469$ lbs Shear Force $=801 \quad \mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt
$\begin{array}{rrrl}\text { Moment }= & 0.9[(4200 \mathrm{lbs} .- \text { Vert. Comp. }) \times 19.125 \text { in. }]= & 45,785 & \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\ & \text { Vertical Component }\left(0.2^{*} \mathrm{SDS}^{*} \mathrm{Wp}\right)= & 1,540 & \mathrm{lbs}\end{array}$

| Anchorage Force $=$ | 469 | lbs |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Shear Force $=$ | 801 | $\mathrm{lbs} /$ per bolt |

Ganged APC-Unit unit Plan Longitudinal Seismic Force


2 ganged units
\# of bolts per unit $=4$

## Design Bolts for 469 lbs tension, 801 lbs. shear, longitudinal direction

## Transverse Overturning



APC
High Seismic

$$
\text { Job No. : } 11210 \quad \text { Date: 08/25/11 }
$$

By: MAS
Page: 20

## Load Case: Ganged unit on 18in raised computer floor ( $\leq 50 \%$ of B/dg. Ht.)

\# of Units ganged (min)= 2

| Single Unit Dimension |  | Raised Floor $=18$ |  |  |  | Seismic Force |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Width(w) (in) = | 37. |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {DS }}=$ | 1.83 | High Seismic |
| Depth(D) $(\mathrm{in})=$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 1.0 | (Importance) |
| Frame Height (in) = |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 1.0 | (Cabinets) |
| Max Weight (lb.) = | 2,1 |  | Center | f Gravity | ocation | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 2.5 | (Cabinets) |
| Unit | Part | Weight (lbs) | X (in) | Y (in) | Z (in) | $\mathrm{z} / \mathrm{h}=$ | 0.5 | (50\% of bldg ht.) |
| 2 - APC-Unit | Frame | 4,200 | 19.1 | 18.605 | 59 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 0.587 | W |
| Longitudinal Anchorage Spacing (in) <br> Transverse Anchorage Spacing (in) |  | 38.25 |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p} \text {, } \text { in }}=$ | 0.55 | W |
|  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}, \text { max }}=$ | 2.93 | W |  |
|  |  | 37.21 | Use $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 0.59 | W |

## Longitudinal Overturning

Overturning
Moment =
0.59 (59 in. x 4200lbs.) $=145,376 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
0.9xResisting

Moment $=\quad 0.9(4200 \mathrm{lbs} . \times 19.125 \mathrm{in})=45,.785 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
Vert. Comp. $\left(0.2^{*} \mathrm{SDS}^{*} \mathrm{Wp}\right)=1,540 \mathrm{lbs}$
Add 30\% increase due to 13.4.2. ASCE-7-05

$$
\begin{array}{rrl}
\hline \text { Anchorage Force }= & 846 & \text { lbs/per bolt } \\
\text { Shear Force }= & 801 & \text { lbs/per bolt } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Ganged APC-Unit unit Plan Longitudinal Seismic Force


2 ganged units
\# of bolts per unit $=4$

## Design Bolts for 846 Ibs tension, 801 lbs. shear, longitudinal direction

## Transverse Overturning



## Design Bolts for 881 lbs tension, 801 lbs. shear, longitudinal direction

Structural Engineers
103 Linden Avenue
So. San Francisco, CA 94080
$650871 \cdot 2282$ FAX $650871 \cdot 2459$
$A P C$
$\qquad$

| Job No. | 11210 | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Signed by | MAS | Sheet No. 21 of |

Find Capacity $O_{\text {E }} S_{\text {Eismic Bracket }}$

$P_{\text {max }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi F_{y}=\frac{M}{S_{x}} \Rightarrow M_{\text {max }}=S_{x}\left(\phi F_{y}\right) \\
& P_{\text {mAx }}=\frac{S_{x}\left(\phi F_{y}\right) \cdot 2}{L} \\
&=\frac{\left(\frac{486 \times 0.12^{2}}{6}\right)(0.75 \times 36) \cdot 2}{84} \times 1.33 \\
&=997 \# \\
& M_{A X} D_{\text {IMAGO }} 0 \quad 967^{\#} \quad \therefore \text { O.K. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Drawing Details

## GENERAL NOTES

## DESIGN

Design conforms to the International Building Code, 2009 Edition, \& the California Building Code, 2010 Edition.

Design live loads:
Importance Factor ............... 1. 0
Seismic Design Category (SDC)....D
Ss. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Varies
Dimensions: refer to rough concrete surfaces, face of studs, face of conc. block, top of sheathing, or top of slab, unless otherwise indicated.

Typical Details: and notes on these sheets shall apply unless specifically shown or noted otherwise. Construction details not fully shown or noted shall be similar to details for similar conditions. All work and construction shall comply with all applicable building codes, regulations, and safety requirements.

Discrepancies: The Contractor shall inform the Architect in writing, during the bidding period, of any discrepancies or omissions noted on the drawings or in the specifications, or of any variations needed in order to conform to codes, rules, and regulations. Upon receipt of such information, the Architect will send written instructions to all concerned. Any such discrepancy, omission, or variation not reported shall be the responsibility of the Contractor, and work shall be performed in a manner as directed by the Architect.

## EXISTING CONSTRUCTION

Existing construction shown on the drawings was obtained from existing drawings or field surveys. The Contractor shall verify all existing conditions and shall notify the Architect of all exceptions before proceeding with the work. The removal, cutting, drilling, etc. of existing work shall be performed with great care and small tools in order not to jeopardize the structural integrity of the building. If existing structural members, not indicated for removal, interfere with the new work, the Structural Engineer shall be notified immediately, and approval obtained, before removal of the existing members.

## FASTENERS

Wedge Anchors: Hilti Kwik Bolt Wedge Anchor, types as indicated per ICBO evaluation report No. 1917 or by manufacture having current ICBO evaluation report with values Iin shear and tension) equal or greater.

|  | Structural Engineers | $\begin{gathered} \text { APC } \\ \text { CABINET ANCHORAGE } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Job No. <br> 11210 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | USA |  |  |  | Sheet No. <br> SK1 |
| Meier | 103 Linden Avenue <br> So. San Francisco, CA 94080 <br> 650 871•??82 Fax: $871 \cdot 2459$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juilly \& Associotes |  | Signed by | MAS | Date | 7/2011 |  |

## NOTES:

*SEE MANUFACTURE DRAWINGS FOR EXACT DIMENSIONS AND SIZE OF APC UNITS
*UNITS TO HAVE A MAX FRAME WEIGHT OF 2,100 LBS


LOW SEISMIC
GANG UNIT BOTTOM PLAN VIEW
(3 UNITS OR MORE GANGED TOGETHER)
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103 Linden Avenue
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## NOTES:

*SEE MANUFACTURE DRAWINGS FOR EXACT DIMENSIONS AND SIZE OF APC UNITS
*UNITS TO HAVE A MAX FRAME WEIGHT OF 2,100 LBS


GANG UNIT BOTTOM PLAN VIEW (3 UNITS OR MORE GANGED TOGETHER)


Structural Engineers
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| SYMMETRA \& | INFRASTRUXURE | Job No. |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| CABINET ANCHORAGE | 11210 |  |
| USA |  | Sheet No. |
|  | Date $7 / 2011$ |  |



## CONCRETE SLAB INSTALLATION

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { DETAIL } 1 \\
& \hline 3^{\prime \prime=}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime} \\
& \text { SK5 }
\end{aligned}
$$




CONCRETE FILL OVER
METAL DECK INSTALLATION

| DETAALL |
| :--- |
| $3^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0 "$ |
| SK6 |

Robinson Meier Juilly \& Associates

Structural Engineers
103 Linden Avenue
So. San Francisco, CA 94080
650 871- ??82 Fax: 871•2459



RAISED COMPUTER OVER CONC.
FILLED METAL DECK INSTALLATION

| DETAIL |
| :--- |
| $3 "=1,-0 "$ |
| SK7 |




| Robinson <br> Meier <br> Juilly \& Associates <br> Structural Engineers <br> 103 Linden Avenue <br> So. San Francisco, CA 94080 <br> 650 871•??82 Fax: 871•2459 |  | SYMMETRA \& INFRASTRUXURE CABINET ANCHORAGE |  |  | Job No. $11210$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | USA |  |  | Sheet No. <br> (5K8) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Signed by | MAS | Date 7/2011 |  |

Robinson
Meier
Juilly \& Associates

Principals
Peter Robinson, S.E.
Jayson E. Haines, S.E.

## Appendix (Hilti Output Files)

www.hilti.us
PROFIS Anchor 2.1.4

| Company: | RMJ \& Associates | Page: | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Specifier: | Mario A. Sigala | Project: | APC Cabinet Anchorag |
| Address: | 103 Linden Ave. | Sub-Project I Pos. No.: | 11210 |
| Phone I Fax: | $650.871 .2282 \mid 650.871 .2459$ | Date: | $8 / 25 / 2011$ |
| E-Mail: | msigala@rmjse.com |  |  |

Specifier's comments: Shear Calculation

1. Input data

## Anchor type and diameter:

Effective embedment depth:
Material:
Evaluation Service Report::
Issued I Valid:
Proof:
Stand-off installation:
Profile
Base material:
Reinforcement:

Seismic loads (cat. C, D, E, or F):

Kwik Bolt TZ - CS, 3/8 (2)
$\mathrm{h}_{\text {ef }}=2.000 \mathrm{in} ., \mathrm{h}_{\text {nom }}=2.625 \mathrm{in}$.
Carbon Steel
ESR 1917
9/1/2009 |-
design method ACI 318 / AC 193

- (Recommended plate thickness: not calculated)
no profile
cracked concrete , 2500, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}=2500 \mathrm{psi} ; \mathrm{h}=4.000 \mathrm{in}$.
tension: condition B, shear: condition B; no supplemental splitting reinforcement present edge reinforcement: none or < No. 4 bar yes (D.3.3.6)

Geometry [in.] \& Loading [lb, in.-Ib]

www.hilti.us
PROFIS Anchor 2.1.4

| Company: | RMJ \& Associates | Page: | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Specifier: | Mario A. Sigala | Project: | APC Cabinet Anchorag |
| Address: | 103 Linden Ave. | Sub-Project I Pos. No.: | 11210 |
| Phone I Fax: | $650.871 .2282 \mid 650.871 .2459$ | Date: | $8 / 25 / 2011$ |
| E-Mail: | msigala@rmjse.com |  |  |

## 2. Load case/Resulting anchor forces

## Load case (governing):

Anchor reactions [lb]
Tension force: (+Tension, -Compression)

| Anchor | Tension force | Shear force | Shear force x | Shear force y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 1250 | 1250 | 0 |

max. concrete compressive strain [\%o]: 0.00
max. concrete compressive stress [psi]: 0
resulting tension force in $(x / y)=(0.000 / 0.000)[\mathrm{lb}]: 0$
resulting compression force in $(\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y})=(0 / 0)[\mathrm{lb}]: 0$

## 3. Tension load

| Proof | Load $N_{\text {ua }}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | Capacity $\phi \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | Utilization $\beta_{\mathrm{N}}[\%]=\mathrm{N}_{\text {ua }} / \phi \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steel Strength* | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Pullout Strength* | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Concrete Breakout Strength** | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| *anchor having the highest loading | **anchor group (anchors in tension) |  |  |  |

## 4. Shear load

| Proof | Load $\mathrm{V}_{\text {ua }}$ [lb] | Capacity $\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | Utilization $\beta_{\mathrm{v}}[\%]=\mathrm{V}_{\text {ua }} / \phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steel Strength* | 1250 | 1466 | 85 | OK |
| Steel failure (with lever arm)* | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Pryout Strength** | 1250 | 1262 | 99 | OK |
| Concrete edge failure in direction** | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| * anchor having the highest loading **anchor group (relevant anchors) |  |  |  |  |

## Steel Strength

## Equations

$V_{\text {seis }}=E S R$ value refer to ICC-ES ESR 1917
$\phi \mathrm{V}_{\text {stel }} \geq \mathrm{V}_{\text {ua }} \quad$ ACl 318-08 Eq. (D-1)

## Variables

| n | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {se, }, ~}\left[\mathrm{in} .{ }^{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{uta}}[\mathrm{psi}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.05 | 125000 |

## Calculations



## Results

| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {sa }}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | $\phi_{\text {steel }}$ | $\phi \mathrm{V}_{\text {sa }}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {ua }}[\mathrm{lb}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2255 | 0.650 | 1466 | 1250 |

www.hilti.us
PROFIS Anchor 2.1.4

Company:
Specifier:
Address:
Phone I Fax:
E-Mail:
RMJ \& Associates
Mario A. Sigala

103 Linden Ave.
650.871 .2282 | 650.871 .2459
msigala@rmjse.com
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## Pryout Strength (Concrete Breakout Strength controls)

## Equations

```
\(V_{c p}=k_{c p}\left[\left(\frac{A_{N c}}{A_{N c 0}}\right) \psi_{e d, N} \psi_{c, N} \psi_{c p, N} N_{b}\right] \quad\) ACI 318-08 Eq. (D-30)
\(\phi \mathrm{V}_{\text {cp }} \geq \mathrm{V}_{\text {ua }} \quad\) ACl 318-08 Eq. (D-1)
\(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Nc}}\) see ACl 318-08, Part D.5.2.1, Fig. RD.5.2.1(b)
\(A_{N c 0}=9 h_{\text {ef }}^{2} \quad\) ACl 318-08 Eq. (D-6)
\(\psi \mathrm{ec}, \mathrm{N}=\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{2 \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{N}}}{3 \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{ef}}}}\right) \leq 1.0 \quad\) ACl 318-08 Eq. (D-9)
\(\psi_{\text {ed, } \mathrm{N}}=0.7+0.3\left(\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{min}}}{1.5 \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{ef}}}\right) \leq 1.0 \quad\) ACI 318-08 Eq. (D-11)
\(\psi_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{N}}=\operatorname{MAX}\left(\frac{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{a}, \min }}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ac}}}, \frac{1.5 \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{ef}}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ac}}}\right) \leq 1.0 \quad\) ACI \(318-08 \mathrm{Eq}\). (D-13)
\(N_{b}=k_{c} \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}} h_{\text {ef }}^{1.5} \quad\) ACl 318-08 Eq. (D-7)
```


## Variables

| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{cp}}$ | $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ef}}[\mathrm{in}]$. | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{~N}}[\mathrm{in}]$. | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{c} 2, \mathrm{~N}}[\mathrm{in}]$. | $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{a}, \min [\mathrm{in} .]}$ | $\psi_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{N}}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ac}}[\mathrm{in}]$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 1.000 |  |
| $\lambda$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}[\mathrm{psi}]$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2500 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculations

| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Nc}}\left[\mathrm{in} .{ }^{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Nco}}\left[\mathrm{in}.{ }^{2}\right]$ | $\psi_{\mathrm{ec} 1, \mathrm{~N}}$ | $\psi_{\mathrm{ec} 2, \mathrm{~N}}$ | $\psi_{\mathrm{ed}, \mathrm{N}}$ | $\psi_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{N}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36.00 | 36.00 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 2404 |  |
| Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cp}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | $\phi_{\text {concrete }}$ | $\phi_{\text {seismic }}$ | $\phi_{\text {nonductile }}$ | $\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cp}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ua}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ |  |
| 2404 | 0.700 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1262 | 1250 |  |

## 5. Warnings

- Condition A applies when supplementary reinforcement is used. The $\Phi$ factor is increased for non-steel Design Strengths except Pullout Strength and Pryout strength. Condition B applies when supplementary reinforcement is not used and for Pullout Strength and Pryout Strength. Refer to ACI 318, Part D.4.4(c).
- Refer to the manufacturer's product literature for cleaning and installation instructions.
- Checking the transfer of loads into the base material and the shear resistance are required in accordance with ACI 318 or the relevant standard!
- The anchor plate is assumed to be sufficiently stiff in order to be not deformed when subjected to the actions!
- An anchor design approach for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category C, D, E or F is given in ACI 318-08 Appendix D, Part D. 3.3.4 that requires the governing design strength of an anchor or group of anchors be limited by ductile steel failure. If this is NOT the case, Part D.3.3.5 requires that the attachment that the anchor is connecting to the structure shall be designed so that the attachment will undergo ductile yielding at a load level corresponding to anchor forces no greater than the controlling design strength. In lieu of D.3.3.4 and D.3.3.5, the minimum design strength of the anchors shall be multiplied by a reduction factor per D.3.3.6.
An alternative anchor design approach to $\mathrm{ACl} 318-08$, Part D.3.3 is given in IBC 2009, Section 1908.1.9. This approach contains "Exceptions" that may be applied in lieu of D.3.3 for applications involving "non-structural components" as defined in ASCE 7, Section 13.4.2.
An alternative anchor design approach to ACI 318-08, Part D.3.3 is given in IBC 2009, Section 1908.1.9. This approach contains "Exceptions" that may be applied in lieu of D.3.3 for applications involving "wall out-of-plane forces" as defined in ASCE 7, Equation 12.11-1 or Equation 12.14-10.
- It is the responsibility of the user when inputing values for brittle reduction factors ( $\phi_{\text {nonduditi) }}$ ) different than those noted in ACI 318-08, Part D.3.3.6 to determine if they are consistent with the design provisions of ACI 318-08, ASCE 7 and the governing building code.
Selection of $\phi_{\text {nonductile }}=1.0$ as a means of satisfying ACI 318-08, Part D.3.3.5 assumes the user has designed the attachment that the anchor is connecting to undergo ductile yielding at a force level $<=$ the design strengths calculated per ACI 318-08, Part D.3.3.3.
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| Company: | RMJ \& Associates | Page: | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Specifier: | Mario A. Sigala | Project: | APC Cab. Anchorage |
| Address: | 103 Linden Ave. | Sub-Project I Pos. No.: | 11210 |
| Phone I Fax: | $650.871 .2282 \mid 650.871 .2459$ | Date: | $8 / 25 / 2011$ |
| E-Mail: | msigala@rmjse.com |  |  |

Specifier's comments: Tension Calculation

1. Input data

Anchor type and diameter:
Effective embedment depth:
Material:
Evaluation Service Report::
Issued I Valid:
Proof:
Stand-off installation:
Profile
Base material:
Reinforcement:

Seismic loads (cat. C, D, E, or F):

Kwik Bolt TZ - CS, 3/8 (2)
$\mathrm{h}_{\text {ef }}=2.000 \mathrm{in} ., \mathrm{h}_{\text {nom }}=2.625 \mathrm{in}$.
Carbon Steel
ESR 1917
9/1/2009 |-
design method ACI 318 / AC 193

- (Recommended plate thickness: not calculated) no profile
cracked concrete , 2500, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}=2500 \mathrm{psi} ; \mathrm{h}=4.000 \mathrm{in}$.
tension: condition B, shear: condition B; no supplemental splitting reinforcement present edge reinforcement: none or < No. 4 bar yes (D.3.3.6)

Geometry [in.] \& Loading [lb, in.-lb]

www.hilti.us
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Company:
Specifier:
Address:
Phone I Fax:
E-Mail:

RMJ \& Associates
Mario A. Sigala
103 Linden Ave.
650.871.2282|650.871.2459
msigala@rmjse.com

## 2. Load case/Resulting anchor forces

## Load case (governing):

Anchor reactions [lb]
Tension force: (+Tension, -Compression)

| Anchor | Tension force | Shear force | Shear force $x$ | Shear force $y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

max. concrete compressive strain [\%o]: 0.00
max. concrete compressive stress [psi]: 0
resulting tension force in $(x / y)=(0.000 / 0.000)$ [lb]: 1100
resulting compression force in $(x / y)=(0 / 0)$ [lb]: 0

## 3. Tension load

| Proof | Load $N_{\text {ua }}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | Capacity $\phi \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | Utilization $\beta_{\mathrm{N}}[\%]=\mathrm{N}_{\text {ua }} / \phi \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steel Strength* | 1100 | 4875 | 23 | OK |
| Pullout Strength* | 1100 | 1107 | 99 | OK |
| Concrete Breakout Strength** | 1100 | 1172 | 94 | OK |
| * anchor having the highest loading | **anchor group (anchors in tension) |  |  |  |

## Steel Strength

## Equations

| $N_{\text {sa }}=E S R$ value | refer to ICC-ES ESR 1917 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\phi N_{\text {stee }} \geq N_{\text {ua }}$ | ACI 318-08 Eq. (D-1) |

## Variables

| n | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {se,N }}\left[\mathrm{in}.{ }^{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\text {uta }}[\mathrm{psi}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.05 | 125000 |

## Calculations

$\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{sa}}[\mathrm{lb}]}{6500}$

## Results

| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {sa }}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | $\phi_{\text {steel }}$ | $\phi \mathrm{N}_{\text {sa }}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ua}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6500 | 0.750 | 4875 | 1100 |

www.hilti.us
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| Company: | RMJ \& Associates | Page: | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Specifie: | Mario A. Sigala | Project: | APC Cab. Anchorage |
| Address: | 103 Linden Ave. | Sub-Project I Pos. No.: | 11210 |
| Phone I Fax: | $650.871 .2282 \mid 650.871 .2459$ | Date: | $8 / 25 / 2011$ |
| E-Mail: | msigala@rmjse.com |  |  |

## Pullout Strength

Equations
$N_{\mathrm{pn}, f_{\mathrm{c}}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}, 2500} \sqrt{\frac{f_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}}{2500}}$
$\phi \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{pn}, f_{\mathrm{c}}} \geq \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ua}}$
refer to ICC-ES ESR 1917
$\phi N_{\text {pr, } \hat{c}_{c}} \geq N_{\text {ua }}$

> ACI 318-08 Eq. (D-1)

## Variables

| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}[\mathrm{psi}]$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}, 2500}[\mathrm{bb}]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2500 | 2270 |

## Calculations



## Results

| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{pn}, f_{1}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | $\phi_{\text {concrete }}$ | $\phi_{\text {seismic }}$ | $\phi_{\text {nonductile }}$ | $\phi \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{pn}, f_{0}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ua}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2270 | 0.650 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1107 | 1100 |

## Concrete Breakout Strength

## Equations

$N_{c b}=\left(\frac{A_{N c}}{A_{\mathrm{Nc} 0}}\right) \psi_{e d, N} \psi_{c, N} \psi_{c p, N} N_{b}$
ACl 318-08 Eq. (D-4)
${ }_{\phi} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cb}} \geq \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ua}}$
ACl 318-08 Eq. (D-1)
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Nc}}$ see ACl 318-08, Part D.5.2.1, Fig. RD.5.2.1(b)
$A_{\text {Nc0 }}=9 h_{\text {ef }}^{2} \quad$ ACl 318-08Eq. (D-6)
$\psi_{\mathrm{ec}, \mathrm{N}}=\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{2 \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\prime}}{3 \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{ef}}}}\right) \leq 1.0$
ACI 318-08 Eq. (D-9)
$\psi_{\mathrm{ed}, \mathrm{N}}=0.7+0.3\left(\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}, \text { min }}}{1.5 \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{ef}}}\right) \leq 1.0 \quad$ ACl 318-08 Eq. (D-11)
$\Psi \mathrm{cp,N}=\operatorname{MAX}\left(\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{min}}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ac}}}, \frac{1.5 \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{ef}}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ac}}}\right) \leq 1.0 \quad$ ACI 318-08 Eq. (D-13)
$N_{b}=k_{c} \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} h_{\text {ef }}^{1.5} \quad$ ACl 318-08 Eq. (D-7)

## Variables

| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {ef }}$ [in.] | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{~N}}$ [in.] | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{c} 2, \mathrm{~N}}$ [in.] | $\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{a}, \text { min }}$ [in.] | $\psi \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{cac}_{\mathrm{ac}}$ [in.] | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\lambda$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 393.701 | 1.000 | 4.375 | 17 | 1 |

$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}$ [psi]
2500

## Calculations

| $\mathrm{A}_{\text {Nc }}\left[\right.$ in. $\left.{ }^{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {Nco }}\left[\right.$ in. $\left.{ }^{2}\right]$ | $\psi_{\text {eci } 1, \mathrm{~N}}$ | $\psi_{\text {ecc2,N}}$ | $\psi_{\text {ed }, \mathrm{N}}$ | $\psi_{\text {cp,N }}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36.00 | 36.00 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 2404 |

## Results

| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cb}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | $\phi_{\text {concrete }}$ | $\phi_{\text {seismic }}$ | 中nonductile | $\phi \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cb}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ua}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2404 | 0.650 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1172 | 1100 |
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| Company: | RMJ \& Associates | Page: | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Specifier: | Mario A. Sigala | Project: | APC Cab. Anchorage |
| Address: | 103 Linden Ave. | Sub-Project I Pos. No.: | 11210 |
| Phone I Fax: | $650.871 .2282 \mid 650.871 .2459$ | Date: | $8 / 25 / 2011$ |
| E-Mail: | msigala@rmjse.com |  |  |

## 4. Shear load

| Proof | Load $V_{u a}[\mathrm{bb}]$ | Capacity $\phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathrm{lb}]$ | Utilization $\beta_{\mathrm{v}}[\%]=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ua}} / \phi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steel Strength* | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Steel failure (with lever arm)* | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Pryout Strength** | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Concrete edge failure in direction** | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| * anchor having the highest loading | **anchor group (relevant anchors) |  |  |  |

## 5. Warnings

- Condition A applies when supplementary reinforcement is used. The $\Phi$ factor is increased for non-steel Design Strengths except Pullout Strength and Pryout strength. Condition B applies when supplementary reinforcement is not used and for Pullout Strength and Pryout Strength. Refer to ACI 318, Part D.4.4(c).
- Refer to the manufacturer's product literature for cleaning and installation instructions.
- Checking the transfer of loads into the base material and the shear resistance are required in accordance with ACI318 or the relevant standard!
- The anchor plate is assumed to be sufficiently stiff in order to be not deformed when subjected to the actions!
- An anchor design approach for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category C, D, E or F is given in ACI 318-08 Appendix D, Part D.3.3.4 that requires the governing design strength of an anchor or group of anchors be limited by ductile steel failure. If this is NOT the case, Part D.3.3.5 requires that the attachment that the anchor is connecting to the structure shall be designed so that the attachment will undergo ductile yielding at a load level corresponding to anchor forces no greater than the controlling design strength. In lieu of D.3.3.4 and D.3.3.5, the minimum design strength of the anchors shall be multiplied by a reduction factor per D.3.3.6.
An alternative anchor design approach to ACI 318-08, Part D.3.3 is given in IBC 2009, Section 1908.1.9. This approach contains "Exceptions" that may be applied in lieu of D.3.3 for applications involving "non-structural components" as defined in ASCE 7, Section 13.4.2.
An alternative anchor design approach to ACI 318-08, Part D.3.3 is given in IBC 2009, Section 1908.1.9. This approach contains "Exceptions" that may be applied in lieu of D.3.3 for applications involving "wall out-of-plane forces" as defined in ASCE 7, Equation 12.11-1 or Equation 12.14-10.
- It is the responsibility of the user when inputing values for brittle reduction factors ( $\phi_{\text {nonductile }}$ ) different than those noted in ACI 318-08, Part D.3.3.6 to determine if they are consistent with the design provisions of ACI 318-08, ASCE 7 and the governing building code. Selection of $\phi_{\text {nonductile }}=1.0$ as a means of satisfying ACI 318-08, Part D.3.3.5 assumes the user has designed the attachment that the anchor is connecting to undergo ductile yielding at a force level $<=$ the design strengths calculated per ACI 318-08, Part D.3.3.3.


## Fastening meets the design criteria!

Design Expansion Anchor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{R y}: 3 / 8^{\prime \prime} \phi H_{1 L T} K B-T Z \\
& h_{\text {eff }}=13 / 4^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

ShEar Calculation
Conc. Breakout Strength of Anchor in Shear [S. $6.2^{-}$]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{c b}=\frac{A_{V C}}{A_{\text {a }}} \cdot V_{\text {ed }} \text { nOTE: DOES NOT GOVERN } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { * hate: bes not over } \\
\psi_{c, V} \cdot V_{b}[E Q \text { D-21] }
\end{array} \\
& \text { [S } S_{\text {EC. }} \text { D. 6.3] }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore$ Conc. Pryout $S_{\text {trengit }} O_{F}$ Anchor $\ln S_{\text {hear }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{C P}= K_{C P} \cdot N_{C D} \cdot[E Q N . D-29] \\
& K_{C P}=1.0 \\
& N_{C P}=1,968 \#\left(S_{E E} T_{E N S I O n ~ C D C C .}\right) \\
& V_{C P}=1.968 \# \\
& \phi=0.7 ; \phi_{S}=0.75 \\
& \phi V_{C p}=1,033 \#<G_{\text {OVENS }} \# S_{H E A R}
\end{aligned}
$$

STEEL StrengTh $O_{F}$ Anchor $\operatorname{In} S_{\text {Hear }}$ [SEC. D.6.1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{S A} & =3.595 \#\left(H_{1 L T}, C_{b T} . P G 319\right) \\
\phi & =0.65[D .4 .4] \\
\phi V_{S B} & =0.75 \times 0.65 \times 3,595 \\
& =1,753
\end{aligned}
$$
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TEnsion
$S_{\text {TEEL }} S_{\text {mennath }} O_{E}$ Ancwor In $T_{\text {Ension }}\left[S_{\text {EC. }}\right.$ D.5.1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{S A}=n A_{\text {SE }} f_{\text {uta }} \text { [E EN. D-3] } \\
& n=1 ; A_{s E}=0.052_{1 n}^{2}\left(H_{1 L+1} C_{n t} . P G .319\right) \\
& f_{\text {uta }}=125,000^{\text {\# }} \\
& \phi=0.75 \\
& \phi N_{\text {sn }}=0.75 \times 0.052 \mathrm{in}^{2} \times 125,000 \text { * } \\
& =4,875 \text { \# }
\end{aligned}
$$

Conc. Breakout $S_{\text {menength }} O_{F}$ Anchors $\operatorname{In}$ Tension [S Sc. D. .5.2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{c c}=\frac{A_{n c}}{A_{n c o}} \cdot \psi_{\psi_{e, N}, N} \cdot \psi_{C, n} \cdot \psi_{C, N} \cdot N_{b}\left[E_{Q N}, D-4\right] \\
& h_{\text {es }}=13 / 4^{\prime \prime} \\
& A_{\text {nco }}=A_{N C}=9 . h_{\text {et }}=9 \times 1.75^{2} \\
& =27.6 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \psi_{\mathrm{d}, \omega}=1.0 \\
& \psi_{C, N}=1.0 \text { [EQN. D-10 or D-11] } \\
& \psi_{C P, N}=1.0 \text { [SEC. D, 5.2.6] } \\
& N_{b}=k_{c} \cdot \sqrt{f^{\prime} C} \cdot h_{\text {ef }}{ }^{1.5} \text { [EAN D-7] } \\
& k_{c}=17 \\
& N_{b}=17 \cdot \sqrt{2500} \cdot 1.75^{1.5} \\
& =1,968 \text { * } \\
& \phi=0.65 \text { [D.4.4] } \\
& \phi N_{c b}=0.75 \times 0.65 \times 1,968^{*} \\
& =959^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Conc. Pullout Strength $O$ f Anchor In Tension [Sec. D.5.3.]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{n} N_{p n, f c} & =\psi_{c, p} \cdot N_{p} \\
& =0.65 \times 1,460^{\#} \\
& =949^{\#} \leftarrow \text { Governs Tension }
\end{aligned}
$$

SIDE FACE Blowout OF Anchor In TEnsion [SEC.D.54]
Anchor Not Close To Any EDge
STEEL STRENGTH $O_{F} A_{\text {ichor }} \operatorname{In} S_{\text {HEaR }}$ [S SC. D, 6.1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{S B} & =n \cdot 0.6 \cdot A_{S E} \cdot f_{V \neq a} \\
& =1.0 \times 0.6 \times 0.052 \times 125,000 \\
& =3,900^{\#} \\
\phi V_{S A} & =0.75 \times 0.65 \times 3,900^{\#} \\
& =1,901 \#
\end{aligned}
$$

